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**CURRICULUM VALIDATION FEEDBACK EVALUATION**

Version 1 October 2014

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Title of Prorgamme (for single programmes):  |       |
| Title of Institute: (formultiple programmes) |       |
| Provider(s) / institution(s) name: |       |
| Role of person completing this document: |       |
| Contact email: |       |
| Date: | dd / mm / yyyy |

The purpose of this document is for those curricula which have passed through the Curriculum Validation process to provide feedback to ensure that APHEA continually improve its service. APHEA will provide open feedback through the website of any discussions and changes taken in to account from these evaluations.

We would appreciate your assigning a numerical value according to your level of satisfaction about each item according to a Likert scale:

1 = Strongly disagree

2 = Disagree

3 = Neutral

4 = Agree

5 = Strongly agree

We would thank you for your time and effort in completing this document.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| The validation process was consistent with information provided in the handbook. | 5 |
| Comments: |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| APHEA provided sufficient consultation throughout the process. | 5 |
| Comments: |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| The criteria provided a useful basis to help us reflect upon the organisation of our Programme. | 5 |
| Comments: |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| The criteria allowed us to provide an accurate and fair representation of our programme. | 5 |
| Comments: |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| The criteria are appropriate, clear and fair. | 5 |
| Comments: |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| The criteria are valid indicators for judging quality of a curriculum | 5 |
| Comments: |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Do you suggest any changes in the validation criteria? | yes | **no** |
| If yes, please explain: |

|  |
| --- |
| Please provide any additional comments you wish to make about the validation process. |
| Comments: |

**Thank you very much for your comments!**